Case 1:13-cv-01930-KBF Document 196 Filed 01/15/16 Page 1 of 2

HONIGMAN

Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP Attorneys and Counselors

Philip J. Kessler

(313) 465-7630 Fax: (313) 465-7631 pkessler@honigman.com

January 13, 2016

Honorable Katherine B. Forrest United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 730 New York, NY 10007

USDC SDNY			
DOCUMENT			
ELECTRONICALLY FILED			
DOC #:		4-0	-
DATE FILED:_	JAN	18	201
l			

Eastern Continental Mining and Development, Ltd., vs. Signet Group, LLC, et al; Case Re: No. 13 Civ. 1930 (KBF)

Dear Judge Forrest,

I am writing as counsel for Signet Group, LLC ("Signet") in the referenced litigation. David Gordon, counsel for Eastern Continental Mining and Development, Ltd ("Eastco") has concurred in my doing so.

This is written to advise you of a recent development that could directly affect whether the counterclaim trial will ever take place and, if so, whether it could take place on the current schedule.

Signet was recently informed that Eastco is undergoing a "liquidation" proceeding in England. A copy of the Notice that Signet and I each received is attached for the Court's convenience. As the notice reflects, this proceeding was evidently commenced by the directors of Eastco.

David Gordon and I have conferred about this development. At this juncture, neither of us understands adequately the implications of the liquidation proceedings and their potential effect on the counterclaim trial and/or on Signet's ability to collect on a counterclaim judgment, if one is entered against Eastco. Nor do we know whether a stay would prevent the counterclaim trial, or any action preceding the trial.

The Court may recall that the current schedule requires the filing of the parties' Joint Final Pre-Trial Statement on the 22<sup>nd</sup> of this month and the Final Pre-Trial conference to be held on the 27<sup>th</sup>. These dates were set before the trial was pushed back to March 8, 10-11.

Mr. Gordon and I have also recently discussed exploring the possibility of a settlement of the counterclaim. While this is only a possibility at this point, whether or not it makes sense to explore settlement will significantly depend on the effect of the liquidation on the implementation of a settlement, assuming that mutually satisfactory terms can be reached.

## HONIGMAN

Honorable Katherine B. Forrest January 13, 2016 Page 2

For all of these reasons, we jointly recommend pushing back the dates for filing the Joint Final Pre-Trial Statement and for holding the Final Pre-Trial Conference approximately 30 days. We would hope to be able to obtain clarity on the issues arising from the liquidation during that 30 day period.

We will keep the Court informed regarding what we learn and, if relevant, the results of any settlement effort.

We would appreciate the Court's cooperation in light of this turn of events.

Sincerely,

HONIGMAN MILLER SCHWARTZ AND COHN LLP

/s/ Philip J. Kessler

Philip J. Kessler
Attorney for Defendant
660 Woodward Avenue, Ste. 2290
Detroit, MI 48226-3506
(313) 465-7000
pkessler@honigman.com

ce: David B. Gordon, Esq. Andy Oh, Esq.

The following amend suchle shall govern: JPTO now der 2/26/16.

Final pre-trial conferme now on 3/2 at 1pm; buch trial shall proceed on 3/8/16 at 9 am.

HESTOR 1/18/16